Office of the General Counsel Oklahoma Bar Association

P.O. Box 53036

RETURN FORM TO:

Oklahoma City, OK 73152 Complainant Information: Prefix: Mr. Mrs. Ms. First Name: Jonethan Date of birth: Middle Name: 5464 Email: jonathan@ocpathinK.org Last Name: Small Telephone: Address: 401 N Lincoln Blyd. Home: City: Oklahoma City Business: 405-602-167 State: OK Zip code: 73104 Mobile: Attorney against whom you wish to file a grievance: (NO LAW FIRMS) Prefix: ✓Mr. ☐Mrs. ☐ Ms. First Name: Anthony Middle Name: Telephone: Last Name: Moore Business: Address: Home: City: Clinton Mobile: State: DK Zip code: 73601 Email: 1. Did you employ the attorney? Yes _____ No ___ Approximate date you employed the attorney: ______ b. Was there a written agreement for services? Yes No (If yes, attach copy) c. What, if any, was the amount paid to the attorney? _____ d. Date Paid: _____ (attach proof of payment)

* * * DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF FORM * * *

* * * DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS, PROVIDE COPIES
AS ORIGINALS CANNOT BE RETURNED * * *

If you did not employ the attorney, what is your connection to him/her? Nr. Moore assisted his spouse in filing three
Privilous VPO's against me, Dave Bond & Bay Corter.
Please furnish the following information, if available: a. Name of Court/County: Custer County District Court
b. Case Number: R-22-40
c. Title of Suit: Rachel Moore vs. Jonathan
Small
d. Approximate Date case was filed: 6 20 2020
If you are or have been represented by any other attorney with regard to this same matter, state the name and address of the other attorney: Name: Sammy Duncah Address: 1400 NW 16TH City: Oklahone City State: Oh Zip code: 73106

* * * DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF FORM * * *

* * * DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL EXHIBITS, PROVIDE COPIES AS ORIGINALS CANNOT BE RETURNED * * *

Names	and a	ddresses o	f witne	sses to t	his grievar	nce:				
A. Nam		lond	_ в.		wter	C.	Rya	n Ho	aynie	
140	NA	rincoln BI			Lincoln	Blvd			incoln Bl	
OFIC	ress	city		Address Klohom	a City		Address		City	
City	4	73104	(City	7318	4	City		73164	
State	e .	Z	ip S	State		Zip	State		Zip	
		lumber(s)	. EMa	elephone	Number(s)	DYA	Telepho	ne Nur	mber(s)	
	il Addres			mail Addr		1)	Email A			
Notine	Notice of griovance against the attenues available to full data!									
piece	Nature of grievance against the attorney explained in full detail. (Use a separa piece of paper if necessary). If you employed the attorney, state what ye employed him/her to do. Include what the attorney did or did not do. Furth									
employ	ed him	n/her to do ay be reque	o. Inclu	ide wha	t the atto	rney d	id or d	id not	t do. Furl	
Sol		nched								
	_ OFF	achea.								
24										
-				-						
									No.	
-										

-										
*										
I hereb	y certi	fy that I h	ave re	ad the	foregoing	matte	rs and	that	they are to	
I hereb	y certification	the best	of my	ad the	foregoing lge.	matte	rs and	that	they are to	
and con	y certification	Sthe best of	of my	ead the knowled	foregoing Ige.	matte	rs and	that	they are to	

This grievance form <u>must</u> be signed before it can be considered. It is imperative that you notify this office of an address change. If you are not available as a witness, your grievance may be dismissed.

Nature of Grievance Against Anthony Moore

On June 20, 2020, Anthony Moore (OBA No. 22429) took his wife to the Custer County Courthouse to get protective orders against myself, Dave Bond, and Ray Carter (Case Nos. PO-22-40, PO-22-39, and PO-22-42 respectively). Moore has stated this publicly, acknowledging his role in these filings. It also appears that while Anthony Moore's wife filled out section 4 (Description of Incident(s)), Anthony Moore may have filled out the other sections of the forms.

The filing of these actions was an abusive political stunt. It misused the tools of justice—particularly a process intended to protect real victims—as a weapon against perceived political opponents. In doing so, Moore misrepresented both the facts and the law. It appears he mislead a judge and may have suborned perjury. His conduct violated the Oklahoma Rules of Professional Conduct and was far below the Oklahoma Bar Association's Standards of Professionalism, which state that a lawyer "will not make statements that are false" and will "be honest, professional and civil."

Title 22 is clear that only someone who is currently or was previously an intimate partner or family or household member may seek a protective order. An exception is for allegations of stalking, but stalking was not alleged in the petition nor was a police report included (which is required for stalking). The lack of necessary relationship was also apparent on the face of the petition.

The petition for protective order is a form with directions on how to fill it out. Part 1A asks the petitioner to check a box for the appropriate intimate partner/household family member. None of these boxes were checked on the forms for these protective orders. Section 1B states "If you DID check on one or more items in Section A above, then complete this section." Despite not having checked a box in Section A, Petitioner checked the box in Section 1B for "Victim of Harassment." Section 1C states, "If you DID NOT check one or more items in Section A above, then complete this section." Not a single box in Section C was checked. This facial deficiency strongly suggests the protective orders were an abuse of the judicial process. Anthony Moore, as an officer of the court and former assistant district attorney, should know better.

In Section 3, the Moores again checked a box that they knew was facially deficient. The box for harassment was checked. The definition of harassment directly below the checkbox states that harassment is the "knowing and willful course or pattern of conduct by a family or household member or an individual who is or has been involved in a dating relationship with the person . . ." None of the individuals named in the protective orders meet or have ever met that definition. As an attorney and officer of the court, Anthony Moore knew, or should have known, the petitions were unlawful and an abuse of the court system.

In the Petitioner's description of the incident, Petitioner stated "I received a series of text messages from two untraceable google voice phone numbers." Despite this admission that there were only messages from two numbers, the Moores sought—and obtained—protective orders against *five* people including those named above. It defies the laws of mathematics and reason to think five people can harass you (assuming the definition of harassment is met in the first place) from only two numbers. Here, again, Anthony Moore, as an officer of the court has a duty not to abuse the court process by seeking *five* protective orders when by Petitioner's own admission, only *two* numbers were used.

Anthony Moore has claimed that he filed the above-mentioned protective orders because myself and the other two men are Hallie Milner's direct supervisors. Even if that were true (none of us directly supervise Hallie), and even if Hallie Milner sent a text message to the Petitioner (as opposed to Anthony Moore himself), that would not provide the legal justification for protective orders against myself, Dave Bond, and Ray Carter. There is no vicarious liability for protective orders. Anthony Moore is a licensed attorney and knew, or should have known, that there were *numerous* flaws in the petitions for protective orders.

And it's not just the facial deficiencies with the petitions that suggest the whole thing was a dirty political trick. The context surrounding the protective orders matters as well.

Anthony Moore was aware that a 501(c)(4) called "People for Opportunity" was running independent expenditures against his campaign. I and Dave Bond are members of that organization, and Anthony Moore is under the impression that Ray Carter is also affiliated with People for Opportunity (this is not true, but Moore has publicly stated that he believes this). We have strong policy disagreements with Moore—that is no secret. In response, he has made no secret of his intense personal animus against us. He is entitled to his feelings and free speech, but not to use the tools of justice as his personal political weapons.

After the filing of the protective order against me, I have attempted to ascertain the facts behind this situation. My own investigation suggests that Anthony Moore's wife received not "a series of text messages from two ... numbers," but rather one single text message from, of course, one single phone number. I further believe that any claim that OSBI linked myself, Dave Bond, or Ray Carter to that message is entirely false. If I am correct, then sworn statements made to the contrary are perjury and anyone who induced or suggested such statements suborned perjury.

The petition for protective orders were filed just eight days before Anthony Moore's primary election. The night of the election, after polls had closed, Chris Cotner, attorney for the Moores, offered to dismiss the protective orders. Once a settlement agreement was

sent over, it was clear the Moores were trying to get us to sign a non-disclosure and waive any form of redress in return for them dropping the protective orders.

Unwilling to surrender our rights, our attorney told the court that we were ready to move forward with our motion to dismiss and, if necessary, the normal hearing on whether to make the protective orders permanent. Once the Moores knew they couldn't extract something from us in exchange for dropping the protective orders, they dropped them on their own before having to appear for what would surely have been an embarrassing hearing (for all the reasons listed above).